

Marine Corps Installations East-Marine Corps Base Camp Lejeune (MCIEAST-MCB CAMLEJ) Restoration Advisory Board (RAB) Meeting Minutes

MEETING DATE: August 16, 2012

LOCATION: Coastal Carolina Community College, Business Technology Building, Room 102 in Jacksonville, North Carolina

ATTENDEES:

Charity Rychak/MCIEAST-MCB CAMLEJ	Richard Mullins/RAB Member
Dave Cleland/NAVFAC Mid-Atlantic	Steven Thompson/RAB Member
Bryan Beck/NAVFAC Mid-Atlantic	Karen Sota/RAB Member
Gena Townsend/EPA Region 4	Marvin Powers/RAB Member
Randy McElveen/NCDENR	Matt Louth/CH2M HILL
Michael Curtis/RAB Co-Chair	Kim Henderson/CH2M HILL
Laura Bader/RAB Member	Chris Bozzini/CH2M HILL
Leonard McAdams/RAB Member	Xavier Blount/Court Reporter
	Ben Grosser/Rhea

FROM: Kim Henderson/CH2M HILL

DATE: September 6, 2012

I. Welcome and Introductions

Ms. Rychak began the meeting and reviewed the agenda.

II. Public Meeting

A public meeting was held for Installation Restoration Program (IRP) Site 69 Proposed Remedial Action Plan (PRAP) and Military Munitions Response Program (MMRP) Site UXO-14 Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis (EE/CA). The presentations were presented by Mr. Bozzini. A North Carolina court reporter recorded minutes on the public meeting that will be available in the Administrative Record upon completion. A handout of the PRAP and fact sheet and CD of the EE/CA was made available with information on the public comment period.

III. Munitions Response Site Prioritization Protocol (MRSPP) Update

Objective: The purpose of this agenda item was to review the MRSPP process, provide updated scoring information for 5 new sites, and discuss stakeholder involvement.

Overview: Mr. Louth reviewed the background information. In 2001 Congress directed Department of Defense (DoD) to identify and prioritize munitions response sites, in 2005 the Final rule was passed, and in 2007 the protocol was issued as the instruction manual. The purpose of the MRSPP is to assign relative priority to each site known or suspected to contain unexploded ordnance (UXO), discarded military munitions (DMM), and munitions constituents (MC). The Navy uses the priority with consideration of other factors (stakeholder input, economic factors, and program requirements) in sequencing munitions response actions.

There are 3 evaluation modules used for the scoring:

- EHE Module – Explosive Hazard Evaluation: Evaluates explosive hazards posed by munitions and explosives of concern (MEC)
- CHE Module – Chemical Warfare Materiel (CWM) Hazard Evaluation: Evaluates hazards associated with CWM
- HHE Module – Health Hazard Evaluation: Evaluates health and environmental hazards posed by munitions constituents (MC) and incidental contaminants

In June 2012, 5 new sites (UXO-22, 23, 24, 25, and 26) were scored for MCIEAST-MCB CAMLEJ using available information. Mr. Louth reviewed the background for the 5 sites and the resulting scores. Priority scores range from 1 to 8 with 1 as the highest and 8 as the lowest hazard level. Sites UXO-23 and UXO-26 were scored as Priority 3 and Sites UXO-22, UXO-24, and UXO-25 were scored as Priority 4. These sites are currently under investigation and the scores will be updated as additional data become available.

A public notice for stakeholder involvement was issued August 2012 and the updated prioritization scores are available on the public website (<http://go.usa.gov/jzi>) and at Onslow County Library. A public comment period gives opportunity for input from August 16 through September 16, 2012. Any comments will be considered as part of MRSPP scoring.

IV. MMRP Site UXO-25, Verona Loop Update

Objective: The purpose of this agenda item was to review recent investigation activities, provide preliminary results, and review schedule.

Overview: Mr. Louth reviewed the investigation approach for the Preliminary Assessment/Site Inspection (PA/SI) that included the Explosives Safety Submission (ESS) to ensure safety worker and public safety during that was approved December 2011, community outreach where fact sheets were sent to residents within 0.5-miles and the RAB was notified at the May 2012 meeting, environmental soil and groundwater sampling was completed in April 2012, a geophysical survey was completed in May 2012, and intrusive investigation was completed in July 2012.

The preliminary results for soil and groundwater indicate that there were no detections of explosives, no detections of perchlorate above screening values, and several metals exceedances of screening values. The human health and ecological risk screenings are currently underway. 10% of the site was surveyed by digital geophysical mapping (2.5 acres) and 361 subsurface anomalies were identified and investigated. No munitions-related items were found. The PA/SI and After Action Report is planned for submittal in September 2012.

III. RAB Business

Ms. Rychak proposed a site visit for the meeting on Saturday, November 17, 2012. She will be sending an email in the coming weeks with more information about the site visit and requested feedback from the RAB members to let her know if they plan on coming and about how many people so she can reserve the correct sized vehicle.